## [CONTRIBUTION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY]

# The Ultraviolet Spectrum of Bicycloheptadiene<sup>1</sup>

## ROBERT B. HERMANN

Received August 8, 1961

The ultraviolet spectrum of bicycloheptadiene was calculated by the Pariser and Parr method and the calculated  ${}^{1}A_{2}-{}^{1}B_{2}$  splitting was found to be much larger than the experimental splitting. By giving the bridgehead carbon atom a reasonable dielectric constant, the  ${}^{1}A_{2}-{}^{1}B_{2}$  splitting is found to be more in agreement with the experiment.

In the course of trying to determine empirically some resonance integrals at larger than nearest neighbor distances, the ultraviolet spectrum of bicycloheptadiene (II) was calculated.



Wilcox, Winstein, and McMillan (WWM)<sup>2</sup> have also calculated the energy of the  ${}^{1}A_{1} \rightarrow {}^{1}A_{2}$  forbidden transition of this compound for which the experimental value is 5.85 e.v. (211 mµ), starting with a value for  $\beta_{12}$  found from the norbornylene (I) 6.33 e.v. band. WWM obtained a satisfactoryagreement with the experimental  ${}^{1}A_{1} \rightarrow {}^{1}A_{2}$  transition energy and also predicted the presence of an  ${}^{1}A_{1} \rightarrow {}^{1}B_{2}$  allowed transition at 6.87 e.v. (180 mµ).

A more nearly complete ultraviolet spectrum of II was obtained in the present work than was available to WWM and the position of the  ${}^{1}A_{1}$  $^{1}B_{2}$  band was observed to be at 6.57 e.v. (188 m $\mu$ ). A Pariser and Parr treatment was then done to find both the  ${}^{1}A_{2} - {}^{1}B_{2}$  splitting and the average of the two band positions. The procedure employed here differed from WWM's Pariser and Parr type treatment in several respects: (1) More configuration interaction was included in calculating the ground state, (2) the angles of the p-orbitals with respect to each other were taken into account in determining the electron repulsion integrals, and (3) besides the usual empirical adjustment of electron repulsion integrals originally proposed,<sup>3</sup> values suggested by Parr and Pariser for the spectra of ethylene-like molecules<sup>4</sup> were used.

The geometry of bicycloheptadiene as given by WWM was used. The value of  $\beta_{12}$  was used which gives the observed  $N \rightarrow V$  transition in norbornylene (6.33 e.v.). All singly and doubly excited configurations were taken into account except the doubly excited configuration of symmetry  ${}^{1}A_{1}$  which results from placing one electron in each molecular orbital. There were six configurations having symmetry  ${}^{1}A_{1}$ , two having symmetry  ${}^{1}A_{2}$ , two having symmetry  ${}^{1}B_{2}$ , and four having symmetry  ${}^{1}B_{1}$ .

In the first calculation, theoretical repulsion integrals<sup>5</sup> were used together with the one-center integral (11|11) = 11.08 e.v. The value of  $\beta$  between non-nearest neighbor carbon atoms was set equal to zero.

TABLE I

PARAMETERS FOR CALCULATION NO. 1

(11 11) = 11.08  e.v.	$\beta_{12} = -2.70 \text{ e.v.}$
(11   22) = 9.36	$\beta_{18} = 0$
(11 33) = 5.239	$\beta_{14}=0$
(11   44) = 6.059	

TABLE II

**Results of Calculation No. 1** 

	<i>E</i> (e.v.)
Lowest ${}^{1}A_{1}$ configuration	0.0
${}^{1}A_{1}$ state after $CI$	-0.054
$A_1 \longrightarrow A_2$ after CI	$5.495(225 \mathrm{m}\mu)$
${}^{1}A_{2} \longrightarrow {}^{1}B_{2}$ after CI	$7.134(174 \mathrm{m}\mu)$
$E_{B2} \longrightarrow E'_{A2}$	1.639
Average transition energy of	
these two transitions	6.315
Experimental average of these	
two transitions	6.22
Experimental ${}^{1}A_{2} - {}^{1}B_{2}$ splitting	0.73

The above calculation disagrees with experiment in two respects. First of all the calculated average of the two transition energies is 6.315 e.v. which differs from the experimental average which is 6.22 e.v. This is a consequence of using the value of  $\beta$ which gives the value 6.33 for the norbornylene transition. Probably with the inclusion of all higher configurations the average transition energy would approach 6.33 e.v.

The second difficulty is that the calculated  ${}^{1}A_{2}$ - ${}^{1}B_{2}$  splitting is much too large even when  $\beta_{23}$ =  $\beta_{14}$  = 0. The expression for the splitting when  $\beta_{23} = \beta_{14} = 0$  is

$$E_{B_2} - E_{A_2} = 2[K_{13} - K_{14} + (13|24) - (23|14)] \quad (1)$$

<sup>(1)</sup> This research was supported by a grant from the American Cancer Society Institutional Research Grant.

<sup>(2)</sup> C. F. Wilcox, Jr., S. Winstein, and W. G. McMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 82, 5450 (1960).

<sup>(3)</sup> R. Pariser and R. G. Parr, J. Chem. Phys., 21, 767 (1953).

<sup>(4)</sup> R. G. Parr and R. Pariser, J. Chem. Phys., 23, 711 (1955).

<sup>(5)</sup> C. C. J. Roothaan, "Tables of Two Center Coulomb Repulsion Integrals between 1s, 2s, and 2p Orbitals," Special Technical Report, Office of Naval Research (1955).

The last two terms arise from the configuration interaction matrix elements and the numbers refer here to molecular orbitals. Since  $K_{13}$  can be shown to be equal to  $(13 \mid 24)$  and  $K_{14}$  can be shown to be equal to  $(23 \mid 21)$  then

$$E_{B_2} - E_{A_3} = 4(K_{13} - K_{14}) \tag{2}$$

The difference between  $K_{13}$  and  $K_{14}$  is a function only of the difference of the repulsion integrals between atomic functions (11 33) and (11 44), and it can be shown that

$$E'_{B_1} - E'_{A_2} = 2[(11|44) - (11|33)]$$
(3)

The inclusion of reasonable values for  $\beta_{13}$  and  $\beta_{14}$ increases the splitting by a small amount, approximately equal to  $2(\beta_{13} - \beta_{14})$  for small values of these integrals. For example, the inclusion of  $\beta$  as found from the Pariser and Parr formula<sup>6</sup> (corrected for angular dependence) increases the splitting by 0.03 e.v. In this case the corrected  $\beta$ 's were  $\beta_{14} = 0.0178$ and  $\beta_{13} = 0.0031$ .

In order to get better agreement with experiment one must look for a reason why the twocenter atomic integrals (11 33) and (11 44) are more nearly equal than theoretically calculated. It is true that if scaled down values of these integrals are used, as originally proposed,<sup>2</sup> the splitting is lowered to 1.2 e.v.<sup>7</sup> (with  $\beta_{14} = \beta_{13} = 0$ ) which is still too large.

If a molecular model of bicycloheptadiene is examined, it can be seen that a saturated carbon atom partially shields the *p*-orbital on one ethylene group from the nearest *p*-orbital on the second ethylene group. It will be assumed that this carbon atom, being in an  $sp^3$  valence state and  $sp^3$  hybridized, may be given a dielectric constant equal to that of diamond,<sup>8</sup> which is 5.5 when measured at à frequency of 10<sup>8</sup> c.p.s. (The square of the index of refraction<sup>9</sup> is  $n^2 = 5.84$ .) The dielectric constant is assumed to operate over half the spatial distance between the p-orbitals; it is also assumed to operate only in the space between the upper half of the p-orbitals; and not the lower half, and not on the space between the upper half of one 2 p-orbital and the lower half of the second 2 p-orbital, and further affect only the  $2p\pi - 2p\pi$  terms in the (11|44) repulsion integral<sup>10</sup> but not the  $2p\sigma - 2p\pi$ or  $2p\sigma = 2p\sigma$  terms. When the dielectric constants of the space between the ethylene moieties is accounted for in this manner, 5.55 e.v. is obtained for the value of  $(11 \mid 44)$ . The repulsion integral (11 33), which operates essentially across a vacuum, remains unchanged. From this new value of  $(11 \mid 44)$ , together with the remaining parameters in Table I, one obtains the results in Table III.

TABLE III

RESULTS OF	CALCULATION	No. 2
------------	-------------	-------

	<i>E</i> (e.v.)
Lowest ${}^{1}A_{1}$ configuration	0
$^{1}A_{1}$ state after $CI$	-0.04
${}^{1}A_{1} \longrightarrow {}^{1}A_{2}$ after CI	$5.982(208 \mathrm{m}\mu)$
${}^{1}A_{1} \longrightarrow {}^{1}B_{2}$ after CI	6,612 (188 mµ)
$E^{1}_{B_{2}} - E^{1}_{B_{2}}$	0,63
Average transition energy	6.297

While these results of 208 mµ for the  ${}^{1}A_{1} \rightarrow {}^{1}A_{2}$ transition and 188 m $\mu$  for the  ${}^{1}A_{1} \rightarrow {}^{1}B_{2}$  transition agree well with the experimental values 211 m $\mu$ and 188 m $\mu$ , no reliance should be placed on more than a qualitative downward adjustment of the (11|44) repulsion integrals through the use of an effective dielectric constant.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

A commercial grade of bicycloheptadiene was distilled from sodium and the middle fraction collected. A vapor phase spectrum was obtained from 180 m $\mu$  to 240 m $\mu$  on a Cary 14 spectrophotometer. The appearance of the spectrum was identical to that described by WWM over the range they recorded and in addition had an extinction coefficient at 188 m $\mu$  which was 1.75 times as large as that possessed by the peak at 211 m $\mu$ .

The numerical work was carried out on an IBM 650 computer with programs written based on the formulas given by R. Pariser<sup>11</sup> and Daudel, Lefebvre, and Moser.<sup>12</sup>

#### DETROIT 2, MICH.

<sup>(6)</sup> See ref. 3, footnote 14.

<sup>(7)</sup> Assuming the same geometry for norbornylene as for bicycloheptadiene, and using the scaled down repulsion integrals,  $\beta_{12}$  was evaluated from the norbornylene transition and -2.27 e.v. was obtained for this quantity. WWM has given -2.40 for  $\beta_{12}$ .

<sup>(8)</sup> Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 39th ed., Chemical Rubber Publishing Co., 1957-1958, p. 2341.

<sup>(9)</sup> See ref. 8, p. 508.

<sup>(10)</sup> For this calculation, the electron repulsion integral is needed between the upper lobes of 2p atomic orbitals of carbon atoms at the distance R<sub>14</sub>. This integral can be found by interpolation using values given by L. C. Snyder and R. G. Parr, J. Chem. Phys., 34, 1661 (1961).

 <sup>(11)</sup> R. Pariser, J. Chem. Phys., 24, 250 (1956).
(12) R. Daudel, R. Lefebvre, and C. Moser, Quantum Chemistry, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1959, p. 471